Stem cell activation by light guides plant organogenesis
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Leaves originate from stem cells located at the shoot apical meristem. The meristem is shielded from the environment by older leaves, and leaf initiation is considered to be an autonomous process that does not depend on environmental cues. Here we show that light acts as a morphogenic signal that controls leaf initiation and stabilizes leaf positioning. Leaf initiation in tomato shoot apices ceases in the dark but resumes in the light, an effect that is mediated through the plant hormone cytokinin. Dark treatment also affects the subcellular localization of the auxin transporter PIN1 and the concomitant formation of auxin maxima. We propose that cytokinin is required for meristem propagation, and that auxin redirects cytokinin-inducible meristem growth toward organ formation. In contrast to common wisdom over the last 150 years, the light environment controls the initiation of lateral organs by regulating two key hormones: auxin and cytokinin.
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The plant shoot culminates in the shoot apical meristem, a dome-shaped organ that generates the aerial parts of the plant. Pluripotent stem cells are harbored in the central zone at the tip of the meristem, while organ initiation takes place below the tip in the peripheral zone (Carles and Fletcher 2003; Rieu and Laux 2009; Sablowski 2011). Because of its dynamic properties, the maintenance of the shoot apical meristem requires a precise coordination of growth and differentiation.

In the central zone, cytokinin has a role in the maintenance of the stem cell pool. The loss of meristem function in the stm mutant is rescued by exogenous cytokinin application as well as expression of a cytokinin biosynthesis gene from the STM promoter (Yanai et al. 2005). Rice log mutants have smaller shoot meristems. The LOG gene encodes a cytokinin biosynthesis enzyme, and its transcripts are localized in the shoot meristem tips (Kurakawa et al. 2007). A negative feedback loop involving the CLV ligand–receptor system limits expression of the homeobox gene WUS and thereby prevents accumulation of excess stem cells (Lenhard and Laux 2003). Local cytokinin perception by AHK4 and type A cytokinin response regulators maintains the WUS expression domain at a predictable distance from the L1 layer (Gordon et al. 2009). A computational model showed that, in a network in which cytokinin simultaneously activates WUS and represses CLV1, WUS expression increases steeply above a critical cytokinin concentration.

In the peripheral zone, a positive feedback loop between auxin and its transporter, PIN1, is required for organ patterning and initiation (Reinhardt et al. 2000, 2003; Heisler et al. 2005; de Reuille et al. 2006; Bayer et al. 2009). Treatment of tomato shoot apices with the auxin transport inhibitor NPA blocks organ formation, resulting in the formation of a radially symmetric pin-like structure. Similarly, mutations in the Arabidopsis PIN1 gene, which encodes an auxin efflux carrier, result in a pin-like shoot. The application of auxin to the flank of such pins induces organ formation (Okada et al. 1991; Reinhardt et al. 2000, 2003). PIN1 was detected predominantly in the epidermal L1 layer and vascular tissues of the developing primordia (Reinhardt et al. 2003). In the L1 layer, PIN1 localizes toward sites of incipient primordia, causing accumulation of auxin at these so-called convergence points [Reinhardt et al. 2000, 2003; Heisler et al. 2005; de Reuille et al. 2006; Bayer et al. 2009]. The local auxin maxima generate the regular organ arrangement called phyllotaxis. Mathematical modeling supports a molecular mechanism in which the phyllotactic pattern is self-organized by positive feedback between auxin and PIN1 [Jönsson et al. 2006; Smith et al. 2006; Heisler et al. 2010].

Recent studies indicate cross-talk between auxin and cytokinin. In the Arabidopsis shoot apical meristem, WUS directly represses the transcription of type A ARR genes (ARR5, ARR6, ARR7, and ARR15), negative regulators of cytokinin signaling. Overexpression of a constitutively

1Corresponding author.
E-mail cris.kuhlemeier@ips.unibe.ch.
Article is online at http://www.genesdev.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gad.631211.
active form of ARR7 disrupts meristem activities similarly to was mutants [Leibfried et al. 2005]. These ARRs are under negative control of auxin. Accordingly, mutants in auxin biosynthetic enzymes, the auxin response regulator MP, or PIN1 have enhanced ARR expression. Silencing of ARR7 and ARR15 caused enrichment of the shoot apical meristem and restored organ formation in the mp mutant. Thus, ARR7 and ARR15 integrate cytokinin and auxin signals, connect them to the CLV–WUS network, and mediate shoot apical meristem activity.

In maize, a loss-of-function mutation in ABPH1, a type A ARR, caused enlargement of the shoot apical meristem and changed phyllotaxis [Giulini et al. 2004]. In the abph1 mutant, PIN1 expression at the incipient primordia was reduced, indicating that ABPH1 is required for normal expression of PIN1. Maize PIN1 was rapidly induced by cytokinin, suggesting that ABPH1 acts as a positive regulator of PIN1 and auxin accumulation in leaf primordia [Lee et al. 2009]. NPA treatment reduced ABPH1 expression. Therefore, in contrast to Arabidopsis, auxin enhances a type A ARR in maize, although the effect may be indirect. Despite this discrepancy, the ARRs appear to be part of a regulatory network that connects auxin and cytokinin signaling.

Auxin and cytokinin not only function as endogenous regulators of the shoot meristem, they are also involved in perceiving information from the environment and relaying it to a wide variety of developmental programs [Argueso et al. 2009; Shibasaki et al. 2009; Wolters and Jurgens 2009]. Of the various environmental cues, light plays a particularly important role [Jiao et al. 2007]. When mature plants compete with their neighbors, the decreased red/far red ratio of the incident radiation causes a shade avoidance response and leaf primordia transiently stop growing, accompanied by rapid arrest of leaf cell division. This response involves downstream activation of auxin signaling as well as auxin-inducible cytokinin degradation in the vascular procambium [Carabelli et al. 2007]. Light also affects auxin biosynthesis, signaling, and transport [Bandurski et al. 1977; Jones et al. 1991; Behringer and Davies 1992; Gil et al. 2001; Salisbury et al. 2007; Laxmi et al. 2008; Stepanova et al. 2008; Tao et al. 2008; Halliday et al. 2009].

Surprisingly little is known about the effect of light on leaf initiation and leaf positioning in mature plants. The long-standing consensus has been that the shoot meristem, as the source of the all-important stem cells, is shielded from the “outward danger and vicissitudes” of the environment [Airy 1873], and that phyllotaxis is not affected by environmental cues. In a rigorous series of experiments published 40 years ago, it was shown that pea plants stopped leaf formation in the dark. Leaf formation resumed when the plants were returned into light [Low 1970]. The arrest of leaf initiation in the dark could be due to the lack of energy, but it is also possible that light acts as an environmental signal of leaf initiation.

Microarray analysis of light- and dark-grown Arabidopsis seedlings showed that ~1150 genes were up-regulated by light, whereas ~800 genes were down-regulated by light [Ma et al. 2001]. Some genes were regulated distinctly by light between adult leaves and seedlings. During light-induced greening of etiolated seedlings, microarray analysis also demonstrated rapid hormone responses in the shoot apex: Genes implicated in auxin and ethylene action were repressed, and genes associated with cytokinin and gibberellin actions were activated [Lopez-Juez et al. 2008].

Considering that light affects many hormonal pathways in different ways, we ask whether light modulates hormonal pathways to control organogenesis at the shoot apical meristem. Recently, we reported that the aux1 lax1 lax2 lax3 quadruple mutant, which is defective in auxin influx carriers, has a much stronger phyllotactic phenotype in short days than in long days [Bainbridge et al. 2008]. This suggests that light has an influence on the shoot apical meristem by affecting auxin distribution. This prompted us to investigate the influence of light on auxin-dependent leaf initiation and positioning.

The common model plant Arabidopsis has a small shoot apical meristem that is deeply buried between rosette leaves, is virtually impossible to access, and cannot be grown in culture. Thus, most studies on Arabidopsis organ initiation concern the induction of floral meristems from the inflorescence apex, which is more easily accessed [Reddy et al. 2004; Heisler et al. 2005, 2010; Hamant et al. 2008]. We use tomato as an experimental system because its vegetative shoot apical meristem is relatively large and therefore can be easily dissected, grows vigorously under defined culture conditions, and is well suited for a wide variety of micromanipulations. We show that light is strictly required for leaf initiation and stabilizes organ positioning, and that the light signal is transduced via cytokinin and PIN1 intracellular trafficking.

Results

Shoot apices stop producing leaf primordia in the absence of light

In order to investigate the effects of light on leaf initiation, we analyzed the number of newly initiated leaf primordia in long days and darkness. Soil-grown tomato seedlings produced approximately one primordium per day, while leaf initiation was arrested in the dark [Fig. 1A,B,H]. The results supported the data from Low (1970): Shoot apices cease to make leaves in the dark, and light reverses the effects of dark and restarts leaf initiation. The lack of organ formation in the dark could be a photomorphogenic response or due to a lack of photosynthetic energy production. To avoid potential depletion of energy, we cultured shoot apices in the presence of sucrose [Fleming et al. 1997]. When the apices were cultured in long days, primordium initiation continued [Fig. 1C,I]. In contrast, when the apices were transferred into the dark, the production of leaf primordia arrested even in the presence of sucrose [Fig. 1D,I]. When the dark-treated seedlings and apices were returned to the light, they resumed producing leaves [Fig. 1F,E], confirming that dark treatment did not affect the viability of the apices.
To ensure that the sucrose in the medium was sufficient as an energy source in the dark, we studied the effects of photosynthesis inhibitors on leaf initiation in cultured apices. Among various photosynthesis inhibitors tested (Supplemental Fig. 1A–F), we selected norflurazon, a pyridazinone herbicide that inhibits photosynthesis by blocking the synthesis of carotenoids (Guseinova et al. 2005). Shoot apices were cultured with 0.5 μM norflurazon. After 6 d with the inhibitor in long days, chlorophyll autofluorescence was absent, confirming that norflurazon was active (Fig. 1L–Q). These chlorotic apices produced as many new primordia as the control in both vegetative and inflorescence stages (Fig. 1K; Supplemental Fig. 1K, L). Thus, inactivation of photosynthesis does not inhibit leaf initiation.

If cessation of leaf initiation in the dark is a signaling response, photoreceptor mutants might be affected in this process. The tomato aurea (au) mutant has been characterized as a phytochrome photoreceptor-deficient mutant that is unable to synthesize the linear tetrapyrrole...
chromophore of phytochrome [Koornneef et al. 1985]. The phenotype of au mutants depends on the developmental stages and is most severe during early stages, suggesting that it is a partial loss-of-function mutant [van Tuinen et al. 1996]. The mutants exhibited shoot meristem abnormalities, such as irregular leaf positioning, smaller meristem size, and split meristems [Fig. 1R–U]. Leaf initiation of au mutants was lower than in wild type [Fig. 1J], indicating a light signaling defect. In addition, phyllotaxis of the mutants was irregular especially in short days [Fig. 1V–Y]. Thus, we conclude that light stabilizes phyllotaxis.

**Darkness affects PIN1 membrane localization and auxin distribution**

If light regulates organ initiation independently of photosynthesis, does it affect auxin transport-dependent auxin gradients in developing leaf primordia? To study the effect of light on auxin transport, we used transgenic tomato plants expressing an Arabidopsis PIN1-GFP construct under its own promoter [AtPIN1-GFP] [Bayer et al. 2009]. The AtPIN1-GFP tomato plants were grown on soil under long days and transferred to the dark at the end of the day.

In the vegetative shoot apical meristem of light-grown seedlings, PIN1 was highly expressed in the L1 layer of the meristem and the incipient leaf primordium as well as in the provascular strands [Fig. 2A–C], in agreement with previous reports [Scarpella et al. 2006; Bayer et al. 2009]. Interestingly, PIN1 was gradually internalized and lost from the plasma membrane [PM] in the dark. PIN1 internalization was first visible after 3–4 h [Supplemental Fig. 2A–C] and was evident in the entire provascularature by 16 h [Fig. 2E]. At this time point, PIN1 had disappeared from the membrane in the basal part of the primordium, although it remained at the tip. In contrast, in the epidermis, most of PIN1 remained polarized after 16 h of darkness, although slight internalization was also observed [Fig. 2D,F]. The increase of internalized PIN1 signal and subsequent disappearance of polarized PIN1 signal on the PM started in the basal part of the provascularature, then spread to the tip of the primordium and finally to the epidermis and entire L1 of the shoot apical meristem [20 h [Supplemental Fig. 2D–F], 24 h [Fig. 2G–I], and 2 d [Supplemental Fig. 2G–I]]. After 6 d of dark treatment, membrane localization of PIN1 had disappeared completely and the GFP signal was observed in large round structures, presumably vacuoles [Fig. 2J–L]. In dark-treated apices, although the speed of internalization was slower than in soil-grown seedlings, the polarized PIN1 was significantly reduced after 6 d [Supplemental Fig. 2J–O].

Since GFP has been observed in the vacuole of dark-treated plants due to impaired degradation [Tamura et al. 2003], we ascertained that the internalization of PIN1-GFP is not an artifact of GFP stabilization. Immunofluorescence labeling of the endogenous PIN1 proteins using an antibody raised against the tomato PIN1 homolog confirmed that the PIN1-GFP expression patterns reflect the patterns of the endogenous PIN1 protein. In the light, PIN1 was highly expressed and polarized [Fig. 2M]. In contrast, PIN1 was internalized in provascularature after 24 h of dark [Fig. 2N], and was reduced or completely disappeared after 6 d [Fig. 2O]. Furthermore, we performed immunolocalization of another plasma membrane-localized protein, H+-ATPase [Morsomme et al. 1998]. Importantly, H+-ATPase was stable after 3 d and 6 d of dark treatment [Fig. 2P–R], showing that light specifically affects PIN1.

In order to study how these changes in PIN1 distribution affect auxin maxima, we examined expression patterns of DR5-YFP in transgenic tomato plants. In the vegetative shoot apical meristem of light-grown seedlings, DR5 was expressed in the L1 layer and inner tissues of incipient primordia. In young bulging primordia, DR5 was expressed at the adaxial side and the tip of young leaf primordia [Fig. 2S,T]. This DR5 signal gradually declined in the dark [Supplemental Fig. 2T–W]. After 6 d of darkness, DR5 was strongly down-regulated in the entire shoot meristem [Fig. 2U]. Thus, the arrest of leaf primordia was associated with the reduction of the levels of auxin and PIN1 expression. In addition, compared with older primordia, the expression of DR5 was higher in younger primordia in light-grown plants, and loss of PIN1 polarity progressed more slowly [Supplemental Fig. 2S,X–AA]. Furthermore, in the dark-treated plants, both polarized PIN1 and DR5 signals tended to remain at the tip of primordia [Supplemental Fig. 2E,VW]. Therefore, there appears to be a correlation between the decrease of auxin concentrations and the PIN1 polarization. This is consistent with a previous report that auxin inhibits endocytosis of PIN1, thus increasing its levels at the PM [Paciorek et al. 2005].

**Organ formation in the dark requires exogenous cytokinin**

Arrest of leaf initiation in the dark was associated with decreased auxin signaling. If the light signal is transduced specifically by auxin, exogenous auxin treatment should restore leaf initiation in the dark. Therefore, we examined the effect of local auxin treatment to the apices in the dark. Dissected shoot apices were cultured for 6 d in the dark. All of the pre-existing primordia, except P1 (the youngest visible leaf primordium), were dissected, and then a small dot of IAA in lanolin paste was applied to the meristem. In all dark-cultured apices, microapplication of DMSO [mock] did not produce new primordia [Fig. 3A]. IAA application did not produce new primordia either; note, however, that, in the same apices, the growth of pre-existing primordia was promoted [Fig. 3B,C]. The result indicated that auxin is not sufficient to initiate new primordia in the dark. Thus, organogenesis requires at least two factors: auxin and light. It also suggested that the light signal is required after and/or in parallel with the establishment of an auxin gradient in primordia.

The result raises the question as to the signaling molecule that transduces the light signal and induces organ initiation. As a substance that might transduce the light signal, we considered cytokinin, which has important...
functions in the shoot apical meristem (Ori et al. 2000; Werner et al. 2003; Leibfried et al. 2005; Gordon et al. 2009; Lee et al. 2009). When cytokinin (zeatin) was applied to the summit of the meristem, 45% of the apices produced new primordia and continued to grow in the dark [Fig. 3D–F]. Apices with applied cytokinin and auxin also produced new primordia at 42% frequency [Fig. 3G–I]. Thus, in dark-grown apices, cessation of leaf initiation can be rescued by cytokinin alone. This suggested the involvement of cytokinin with the light-dependent leaf initiation pathway.

When tomato shoot apices were cultivated in the presence of NPA, leaf formation was completely inhibited, resulting in a pin-shaped shoot meristem [NPA pin]. Organogenesis could be restored by exogenous auxin application [Reinhardt et al. 2000]. Using this experimental system, we examined the effect of exogenous IAA on NPA pins in the dark. NPA pins were cultured in the dark, and then a small dot of IAA in lanolin paste was applied to the flank of the meristem. DMSO [mock] treatment did not induce organ initiation in either the light or the dark [Fig. 3J,L; Table 1]. In contrast to light-grown NPA pins, microapplication of IAA did not induce organogenesis in the dark [Fig. 3K,M; Table 1, Supplemental Table 1]. When zeatin and IAA were mixed with lanolin and applied locally to the flank of dark-cultured NPA pins, primordium formation was induced [Fig. 3N,O; Table 1].

The result confirmed the essential role of cytokinin.

Light promotes meristem tip growth by activating cytokinin signaling

The previous results show that exogenous cytokinin is essential for leaf initiation in the dark [Fig. 3F]. They also suggest that light triggers activation of the cytokinin pathway. How, then, does cytokinin act? We showed previously that NPA completely blocks leaf formation in the light, but stem growth and meristem maintenance proceed normally [Reinhardt et al. 2000]. In order to track meristem growth, both the summit and the flank of NPA pins were labeled with small dots of lanolin. After 5 d in the light, the two dots were separated by substantial growth [Fig. 3P; Table 1]. Thus, the meristem tip grows in light-cultured NPA pins. In contrast, when dots of lanolin were applied to the summit and flank of dark-cultured NPA pins, the dots remained at their positions, indicating that there had essentially been no growth [Fig. 3Q]. Similarly, microapplication of IAA did not induce meristem tip growth in the dark [Fig. 3R].

Figure 2. Darkness affects expression and localization of PIN1 and DR5-YFP. Light-grown tomato PIN1-GFP seedlings (A–C) were transferred to dark for 16 h (D–F), 24 h (G–I), and 6 d (J–L). Maximal projections of transversal confocal sections (A,D,G,J), median longitudinal section of leaf primordia (B,E,H,K), and surface view of leaf epidermis (C,F,I,L). Immunolocalization of PIN1 protein in median longitudinal section of leaf primordia [M], 24-h dark treated plants (N), or 6-d dark-treated plants (O). Immunolocalization of H\(^+\)-ATPase in median longitudinal section of shoot apical meristem in light [P], 3-d dark-treated [Q], and 6-d dark-treated [R] plants. [S–U] DR5-YFP expression in tomato shoot apices. Light-grown seedlings [S,T] were transferred to dark for 6 d [U]. Maximal projections of transversal confocal sections of the top view [S] and side view [T,U] of a shoot apical meristem. The green signal is AtPIN1-GFP protein in A–L, PIN1 protein in M–O, H\(^+\)-ATPase protein in P–R, and DR5-YFP protein in S–U. Red signal is propidium-iodide (PI)-stained cell wall. The numbers in the bottom left corner show the number of apices that display the shown expression pattern out of the total number of samples. Bars, 50 \( \mu \text{m} \).
However, when zeatin was applied to the summit or flank of dark-cultured NPA pins, the distance between the lanolin dots increased like in the light-cultured NPA pins, showing that the meristem tip grew in the dark (Fig. 3S; Table 1). Note that this growth was not accompanied by organ induction. Thus, in dark-grown NPA pins, exogenous cytokinin induced apical growth. In addition, there were no obvious differences in cell shape between light-grown NPA pins and cytokinin-treated dark-cultured NPA pins, confirming that the effect of cytokinin is similar to that of light. We calculated the rate of meristem tip growth by measuring the distance between the summit and the lanolin dot in the flank (see the Supplemental Material). The rate of meristem tip growth per day was as follows: in light-cultured NPA pins: 48 ± 18 μm; in dark-cultured NPA pins with zeatin applied to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>IAA</th>
<th>Zeatin</th>
<th>IAA</th>
<th>Zeatin</th>
<th>Number of treatments</th>
<th>Primordia induction</th>
<th>No effect</th>
<th>Meristem tip growth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td>10 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7 [78]</td>
<td>2 [22]</td>
<td>0 [0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 mM</td>
<td>1 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11 [69]</td>
<td>5 [31]</td>
<td>0 [0]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 mM</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0 [0]</td>
<td>25 [66]</td>
<td>13 [34]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1 mM</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0 [0]</td>
<td>23 [92]</td>
<td>2 [8]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apices were cultured in the presence of 10 μM NPA for 8 d to make NPA pins and were transferred to the light or the dark for 6 d. The resulting pins were locally treated with IAA or zeatin. NPA pins were examined 5 d after microapplication.

*1% DMSO.
the summit: 35 ± 15 μm. The results clearly show that, in the absence of light, cytokinin is required to promote meristem tip growth. In the absence of NPA, cytokinin induced organ initiation but not meristem tip growth in the dark [Fig. 3F]. Together, this suggests that cytokinin promotes leaf initiation in the presence of active auxin transport.

Auxin redirects cytokinin-induced growth

Next, we asked why auxin could not induce organ initiation in the dark. Microapplication of IAA alone promoted PIN1 and DR5 expression in the dark-cultured NPA pins [Fig. 4B,C,G,H]. Similarly, both PIN1 and DR5 expression were up-regulated by IAA plus zeatin treatment in the dark [Fig. 4D,I]. The longitudinal and transverse sections of DR5-expressing NPA pins showed up-regulation of the DR5 signal in the L1 layer and a gradient in the DR5 signal at the site of microapplication [Supplemental Fig. 3A,B]. Notably, PIN1 and DR5 expression were up-regulated by IAA to the same extent in the absence or presence of cytokinin [Fig. 4, cf. C and D, H and I]. Therefore, the results indicate that auxin promotes downstream signaling in the dark, however, for organ initiation, cytokinin is also required.

According to Figure 3S, cytokinin treatment promotes meristem tip growth of NPA pins in the dark. Is activation of auxin signaling necessary for meristem tip growth? Application of auxin alone induced PIN1 and DR5 [Fig. 4C,H] but did not induce tip growth. Furthermore, microapplication of zeatin promoted neither DR5 nor PIN1 expression in the dark-cultured NPA pins [Fig. 4E,J]. Therefore, auxin signaling is not necessary for induction of meristem tip growth. We conclude that [1] cytokinin induces growth, but [2] cytokinin in the absence of auxin causes the tip to grow, while, in its presence, the lateral organs initiate and grow out at the expense of tip elongation.

In addition, expression of PIN1 was higher in the light than in the dark [Fig. 4A,B]. Expression of DR5 was low in the light and the dark [Fig. 4E,G]. This suggests that light is required for PIN1 expression; however, as long as the auxin level is low, the meristem tip continues to grow without producing organs.

Light controls expression of key regulatory genes of the shoot apical meristem

The results so far show that light controls organogenesis via activation of cytokinin signaling. This signaling is likely to involve well-known regulators of meristem activity and organogenesis. Because no tomato lines carrying relevant reporter gene constructs are available, we switched to the Arabidopsis inflorescence meristem.

Compared with the apices in the light, the number of newly initiated flower primordia was lower in the dark. Local cytokinin treatment restored primordium initiation [Supplemental Fig. 4A]. Furthermore, microapplication of IAA to the tip of the pin1 mutant induced organ formation in the light but not in the dark [Supplemental Fig. 4B–E]. In contrast, microapplication of IAA plus zeatin induced organ formation in dark-cultured pin1 mutants [Supplemental Fig. 4F–H]. These results confirmed that the light response in the shoot apical meristem is conserved between the vegetative tomato shoot meristem and the Arabidopsis inflorescence meristem.

To determine whether alterations in meristem activity in the light and the dark were evident at the level of gene expression of key regulatory genes of the shoot apical meristem, we switched to the Arabidopsis inflorescence meristem.
expression, we examined expression patterns of key regulatory genes. \( pTCS-GFP \) is a synthetic reporter to visualize cytokinin response, and it is activated in a domain similar to \( WUS \) [Müller and Sheen 2008; Gordon et al. 2009]. In continuous-light-grown plants, \( TCS \) expression was confined to the center of the inflorescence and floral meristems [Fig. 5A,C]. However, \( TCS \) expression was reduced in the dark [Fig. 5B,D]. Compared with dark-treated plants, the total GFP fluorescence in the light was threefold higher [Fig. 5Y]. This was due to both expansion of the expression domain and an increase in total signal intensity [Fig. 5Y]. It suggests that the cytokinin signaling is decreased in the dark. Therefore, the light regulates meristematic activity by activation of cytokinin signaling.

In the light, peaks of \( DR5-GFP \) were observed in the L1 layer of the inflorescence meristem at sites of incipient organ initiation, as has been observed previously [Fig. 5E,G, Heisler et al. 2005; Smith et al. 2006]. In dark-grown apices, expression in the inflorescence meristem was not significantly changed at I2 to P5 primordia [Fig. 5G,H,Z], but the conspicuous difference was the strong signal in older flower primordia. (Fig. 5E,F). Expression of \( TAA1 \), a gene involved in auxin biosynthesis [Stepanova et al. 2008; Tao et al. 2008], was increased fivefold in the light [Fig. 5I–L,AA]. This suggests that auxin biosynthesis in the shoot apical meristem requires light.

Cytokinin plays a critical role in establishing the \( WUS \) expression domain in the shoot apical meristem [Gordon et al. 2009]. We analyzed expression of genes related to the CLV/WUS pathway. Surprisingly, we observed increased \( CLV3 \) and \( CLV1 \) expression in dark-treated plants [Fig. 5M–T,BB,CC]. Compared with light-grown plants, the \( CLV1-GFP \) signal in dark-treated plants was sevenfold greater in volume and 18-fold greater in intensity [Fig. 5CC]. In contrast to the drastic up-regulation of the CLV signal, \( WUS \) expression did not significantly change between the light and the dark [Fig. 5U–X,DD]. This suggests that the CLV pathway is more active in the dark. It also indicated that decreased \( TCS \) expression in the dark is not due to reduced viability of the meristem.

**Discussion**

It is generally assumed that the shoot apical meristem is shielded from the environment, and that leaf initiation and leaf positioning are autonomous processes. However,
this quite reasonable assumption is based on very little data. The aim of this study was to determine whether light affects the initiation and positioning of organ primordia. We show that tomato vegetative shoot apices cease to grow in the absence of light, and that this is a photomorphogenic response rather than due to a lack of photosynthetic energy production. The photoreceptor mutant aurea also displayed defective phyllotaxis. While the average divergence angle remained close to the theoretical value of 137.5°, the variation in angles was increased considerably [Fig. 1V–Y]. Thus, we arrive at the rather surprising conclusion that the precision of phyllotactic patterning is influenced by the environment.

If light acts as a morphogenic signal in the shoot apical meristem, where is it perceived? The day length signal is perceived in the leaves but induces flowering in the shoot apical meristem (Zeevaart 1976; Bernier and Périlleux 2005; Turck et al. 2008). The shoot apical meristem is covered by leaves, and thus the light intensity at the shoot apical meristem is likely to be low. However, there is plenty of evidence in the literature for extremely sensitive phytochrome-dependent light perception, and such a response may also occur in the shoot meristem. In our meristem culture system, apices resumed leaf initiation after return to the light [Fig. 1E]. Therefore, even in the absence of leaves, shoot apices are able to produce primordia. Thus, the effect of light on organ initiation is likely to be a shoot apex-autonomous process.

An important finding is that light affects the establishment of efflux-dependent auxin gradients at the incipient primordia, a key hormonal event during organ initiation. In the absence of light, PIN1 was lost from the membrane and internalized, initially only in the provascular cells, and later uniformly in the meristem [Fig. 2; Supplemental Fig. 2]. Unlike PIN1, H⁺-ATPase was stable in the dark in the meristem over 6 d, showing that the effect of light is not a general response. Thus, proper subcellular localization of PIN1, and thereby the establishment of PIN1-dependent auxin gradients, requires light. This finding is in line with the observation that PIN2 is internalized in dark-grown roots [Laxmi et al. 2008].

Our study uncovered cytokinin as an important factor involved in leaf initiation in addition to auxin. Inhibition of auxin transport specifically prevents the initiation of lateral organs, a defect that can be rescued by microapplication of IAA in the light [Reinhardt et al. 2000]. However, in the dark, IAA application was ineffective in both the presence and absence of NPA, suggesting that auxin signaling is not sufficient to induce organs [Fig. 3M]. Cytokinin can rescue the auxin-induced organogenesis in the absence of light [Fig. 3F]. Cytokinin levels can substitute for the lack of light in other systems as well [Chaudhury et al. 1993; Chory et al. 1994; Muramoto et al. 2005; Lochmanová et al. 2008]. The question that remains is which cytokinin-related process is regulated by light. Light may increase cytokinin levels [Mizuno et al. 1971; Qamaruddin and Tillberg 1989], promote cytokinin biosynthesis in the shoot meristem, negatively regulate cytokinin degradation [Carabelli et al. 2007], and affect type A ARRs [Sweere et al. 2001; Zheng et al. 2006]. Light and cytokinin independently regulate the stability of HY5, a transcription factor promoting the expression of light-inducible genes by affecting COP1-mediated proteolysis [Vandenbussche et al. 2007].

Auxin and cytokinin interact in complex ways either antagonistically or synergistically, depending on the context [Dello ioio et al. 2008; Muller and Sheen 2008]. In the shoot apical meristem, cytokinin signaling antagonizes auxin-inducible organogenesis. The KNOX proteins, which activate cytokinin signaling, are absent from the incipient primordia, where auxin is high [Ori et al. 2000; Jasinski et al. 2005; Yanai et al. 2005]. The cytokinin response regulators ARR7 and ARR15 are directly repressed by the auxin-responsive transcription factor MP [Zhao et al. 2010], indicating that auxin also negatively affects cytokinin signaling. In contrast, in maize, cytokinin promotes growth of the central zone and also triggers expression of the type A ARR, ABPH1, at incipient primordia to induce organogenesis [Lee et al. 2009]. The amp1 mutant, which over-produces cytokinin, rescues the organogenesis defect of mp [Vidaurre et al. 2007]. These studies suggest that auxin and cytokinin may act in concert.

In our study, local application of cytokinin to NPA pins without auxin induced meristem tip growth but not organ initiation in the dark [Fig. 3S]. Therefore, the primary effect of cytokinin is not the induction of organs, but, rather, meristem propagation. When auxin and cytokinin were applied together, this growth was redirected toward organogenesis. Thus, we propose that cytokinin promotes meristem growth to supply a source of stem cells as a prerequisite for leaf initiation. In the absence of NPA, cytokinin induced organ initiation in the dark. This suggests that, in the presence of active auxin transport, cytokinin promotes organ initiation by promoting the establishment of auxin gradients. Expression of the auxin biosynthetic enzyme TAA1 is higher in the light than in the dark. This suggests that cytokinin affects establishment of the auxin gradient via regulation of auxin biosynthesis. Thus, these results suggest two possible regulatory pathways for the effect of light on leaf initiation: [1] Light affects both cytokinin signaling and auxin signaling. These hormones act in parallel to promote organ initiation. [2] Light promotes cytokinin signaling, which in turn promotes auxin signaling to induce organ initiation [Fig. 6].

The effect of light on cytokinin and auxin signaling is mirrored by the light-dependent expression of key regulatory genes. The CLV genes restrict stem cell identity and promote cell differentiation. In the dark, the expression of CLV1 and CLV3 was strongly up-regulated, whereas the expression of the cytokinin reporter TCS was reduced. We propose that light activates cytokinin signaling, which in turn promotes growth through reducing CLV expression [Fig. 6]. An unexpected finding was that the enhanced expression of CLV1 and CLV3 in the dark was not accompanied by a reduction of WUS expression. This is consistent with a report that silencing of ARR7 and ARR15 induced strong up-regulation of CLV3 expression, whereas WUS expression was only
Plant material and growth conditions

Plants and cultured apices were grown under the following light conditions: long photoperiod (16 h light, irradiance 110 μE m⁻² sec⁻¹), continuous light (irradiance 110 μE m⁻² sec⁻¹), and continuous darkness. For tomato NPA pins, apices were cultured at 110 μE m⁻² sec⁻¹ light irradiance at 14 h light/10 h dark. In all light conditions, humidity was kept at 65% ± 10%, and temperature was kept at 20°C ± 2°C. All meristem manipulations in the dark were done under dim green safe light (attenuated green LED, OSRAM). The following lines of tomato (Solanum lycopersicum Mill) have been described previously: aurea mutant (Koornneef et al. 1985), AtPIN1-GFP (Bayer et al. 2009), and the DR5-YFP line pDR5:3XVENUS-N7 [Shani et al. 2010]. Arabidopsis TCS-GFP containing an enhanced version of the published construct [Müller and Sheen 2008], DR5-GFP, WUS-GFP (Grandjean et al. 2004), TAA1-GFP (Stepanova et al. 2008), and pin1-7 are in the Col-0 background. CLV3-GFP [Yadav et al. 2009] and CLV1-GFP [Gallois et al. 2002] are in the Landsberg erecta (Ler) background.

For in vitro tomato shoot meristem culture, shoot apices of 12-d-old long-day-grown seedlings were dissected and cultured as described [Fleming et al. 1997]. Microapplications were performed essentially as described [Reinhardt et al. 2000]. For details, see the Supplemental Material.

Microscopy

Confocal analysis was carried out using a Leica upright confocal laser-scanning microscope (Leica TCS SP5) with long-working-distance water immersion objectives (20×). The cell wall was stained with 0.2% propidium iodide (PI; Sigma-Aldrich) for 1–3 min. Light emitted at 620–690 nm was used to record chlorophyll autofluorescence or PI staining. For scanning electron microscopic analysis, meristems were viewed with an S-5000N variable pressure scanning electron microscope (Hitachi) equipped with a cool stage.

Fluorescent signals were quantified by MorphographX software (kindly made available by Richard Smith, University of Bern). The relative values of volume and the intensity of the GFP signal were calculated by dividing the values of dark-grown samples by the values of light-grown samples (CLV1, CLV3, and WUS) and by dividing the values of light-grown samples by the values of dark-grown samples (TCS, TAA1, and DR5).

Measurement of divergence angles

Wild-type and aurea tomato plants were grown in long days for 12 d or short days for 26 d. Top-view scanning electron microscope pictures of shoot apices were used for the measurements. Angles between the center point of the central zone and the P1–P5 leaf primordia were measured in at least 20 plants for each condition.

Immunolocalization

Samples were fixed in a 1:1 methanol:acetone mixture. Immunolocalizations were done on sections of wax-embedded plant material and performed as described previously [Bainbridge et al. 2008; Bayer et al. 2009]. For immunolocalization of H⁺-ATPase, a 1:200 dilution of a rabbit anti-Nicotiana plumbaginifolia H⁺-ATPase was used [Morsomme et al. 1998].
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