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Symplastic domains in plants are defined by spatial limitations on cell-to-cell communication through plasmodesmata (Pds) and establish tissue boundaries necessary for metabolic and developmental programming. With the exception of the physical closure of Pds by callose, the cues and the processes for creating symplastic domains remain poorly understood. Recently, we identified a novel family of eight proteins, called Pd-located protein 1 (PDLP1). These proteins span the plasma membrane within Pds and likely form part of a signal transduction system that perceives external signals to regulate molecular trafficking between cells. For two members of this family that have high expression in the shoot apex we show that they have defined and partially overlapping tissue-specific expression patterns that correlate in part with previously defined symplastic domains. The importance of non-cell-autonomous proteins in shoot development and of the spatial rules that govern leaf and floral development highlight the need to have a clearer understanding of symplastic domains.

The complex body of plants is divided into physically and/or functionally distinct tissues, which in many cases, are sustained through the regulation of symplastic cell-to-cell communication through Pds. Hence, for example, the complex organisation of the vascular tissues is associated with different forms and frequencies of Pds at different tissue/cellular boundaries.1 Most obviously, the boundary between the xylem companion cell and the sieve element is bridged by specialised deltoid Pds that impose molecular size constraints and regulate the flow of carbohydrates into the phloem.1

In other tissues the functional boundaries are less obvious and have only been identified through the use of symplastically restricted fluorescent markers introduced by microinjection,2 through cell damage3 or through vascular translocation (e.g. HPTS: 8-hydroxypyrene 1,3,6 trisulfonic acid or CF: carboxy fluorescein4).

The body of the shoot apex is divided into distinct cell layers called the tunica and the corpus. The tunica usually consists of the two outermost single cell layers (the epidermal L1 layer and sub-epidermal L2), which exclusively undergo anticlinal divisions. The corpus is represented by the mass of cells in inner layers (L3) where divisions occur in all planes. Since Pds are formed primarily on the developing cell plate, primary Pds are aligned in the division plane. However, all the cells of the meristem are connected symplastically. Hence Pds on walls connecting L1 and L2, and L2 and the corpus (L3), probably have a distinct ontogeny independent of cell division (secondary Pds). That these provide symplastic connectivity is apparent from the non-cell-autonomous properties of the homeobox protein, KNOTTED1 (KN1). KN1 is expressed in the L2 and L3 zones but the protein is distributed through layers L1 to L3,5 a non-cell-autonomous trafficking property that depends upon the homeobox domain.6

Identifying symplastic domains within a mass of relatively undifferentiated tissue in the shoot apex is complicated by the difficulties of microinjecting fluorescent dyes below the tunica. However, using this approach for just the L1, Rinne and van de Schoot2 defined the tunica central and peripheral zones as distinct symplastic domains in the birch apical meristem. These domains appeared not to be maintained by callose deposition at the neck region of Pds, although callose was involved in symplastic closure across the meristem during morphogenetic changes induced by short photoperiods.

From a proteomic survey of Arabidopsis suspension culture cell walls, we recently identified a family of eight genes encoding novel Pd proteins, called Pd-located proteins 1 (PDLP1).7 We showed that these proteins span the plasma membrane within Pds and, with altered expression of the genes, have the potential to regulate Pd trafficking. The sequences of the eight PDLP1 proteins are similar (range 23–78%) raising the possibility of functional redundancy where
there would be spatial overlap in the pattern of tissue-specific gene expression. The public transcriptomic data show diverse patterns of gene expression for these genes (Fig. 1) with *At5g43980* (*PDLP1a*) being most abundant in cell suspensions, the source tissue for our proteomic analysis. Other members of the family were variously expressed strongly in roots, senescent leaves, stem nodes, siliques, seeds and pollen. Of particular interest to us in the context of the symplastic organisation of the shoot apex were *At2g33330* and *At1g04520*, which were strongly expressed there, although not uniquely.

To investigate the precise tissue distribution of the proteins encoded by *At2g33330* and *At1g04520* we made GFP fusions to the C-terminal end of the coding sequence and expressed them using the homologues promoter regions (~1.5 kbp) upstream of the transcriptional start site. The constructs were transformed into Arabidopsis Col-0. Homozygous transgenic seed were germinated and the early inflorescence meristem dissected for examination by confocal microscopy.

*At2g33330*.GFP fusion protein was mainly expressed in the outermost L1 layer of the shoot apical meristem and in the epidermis of bulging floral primordia (Fig. 2A and C). Within the L1, *At2g33330*.GFP expression was restricted to the peripheral zone leaving the central zone free of GFP signal (Fig. 2A and C, white arrow). Expression in the epidermis of floral primordia changed through development from being weakly expressed in the youngest the floral organs, with possibly a stronger expression on the abaxial side (P1, P2 stage) to being relatively strongly expressed in both the adaxial and abaxial epidermis at later stages (Fig. 2A). In line with the Pd labelling in leaf tissues seen with PDL1.GFP fusions, most of the labelling occurred on the wall. Labelling was found evenly distributed throughout walls with the exception of the outer wall which showed weak labelling. In the absence of an outer connecting cell layer the outer wall does not contain Pds. Thus, the distribution of *At2g33330* clearly differentiated the L1 layer from the underlying cell layers as well as the distinct central and peripheral zones within the L1.

The plasmodesmal protein encoded by *At1g04520* was uniformly expressed within the inflorescence meristem (Fig. 2B and D) with the exception of a boundary zone between floral primordia and the meristem where the expression was weaker (grey arrows).

To assess the functional significance of these genes in shoot development, insertional knock-out lines for each were obtained (*SALK_118839; At1g04520* and *SALK_016528; At2g01660*) and double knock-out lines also generated. All of these lines grew normally showing no detectable abnormalities in leaf or flower development under short or long day growth conditions, most likely indicating functional redundancy with other PDL1 genes. Notably, *At3g60720, At5g37660* and *At2g01660* show low levels of expression in the apical meristem (Fig. 1). Although we do not know the precise biochemical function of the PDL1 proteins, it seems unlikely that the correlation between the distribution of *At2g33330* and previously identified symplastic domains in the tunica is coincidence. This raises the possibility for these proteins to be used as potential markers for such domains that otherwise had only been identified by invasive dye tracking experiments. As more Pd proteins are
identified a detailed description of their tissue and cell specific patterns of expression and distribution will help to provide a comprehensive picture of the contribution of Pds to the spatial and functional segregation within plant tissues.
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